Embassy of Switzerland in Ukraine
Presence Switzerland

"Local Referendum. Swiss practices. Possible insights for Ukraine"

Kiew, Dipl. Academy of Ukraine, 13th of march 2017

By Andreas Gross (St-Ursanne), political scientist, Dr. h.c.

Communal/Municipal Direct Democracy (DD) building

I. Core ideas of DD and communal DD

- A Democracy is more than elections (representation), but also participation (referendum)
- In a strong democracy politics are not only made for the people, but also by the people
- Citizens are subjects, not object of politics and the state
- The latters serve and are made by the citizens, they do not command or own them
- In a democracy all those who are concerned by a decision should be directly or indirectly part of the decision making process
- A democracy enables people to be free. Freedom means more than to have a choice; freedom means the right, the capacity and the ability to influence together with others the common way of life
- By inviting all to take part in the decision making processes democracy secures a central promise, a fair distribution of the life chances among all citizens
- A way to democratise democracy is to decentralise the political power; every topic has to be decided as close to the concerned people as possible (Nation, region, municipality, commune)
- A strong democracy shares power: Horizontally between the capital, the region and the communes, vertically between the government, parliament and the citizens ("Flat hierarchies")
- Politics is more then the arena, where you fight for your private interests;
 it's the place where one looks for the "common good" the sum of the private interests do not define already the common interest

II. The ways how communal DD is realized (in Switzerland)

- The state/ the regions define the rights, autonomy and the competences of the municipalities/communes
- Each municipality/commune defines within this regional/state-frame it's way of organising it's politics (DD) in a communal order/rule-book/"constitution", which has to be agreed by a majority of the citizens in this town

- A certain amount of citizens can always propose a change of this communal order; the proposition has to be discussed in the communal parliament and has to be decided in a local Referendum
- In this communal order is defined which competences the governor, the government and the parliament have; as well as the citizens
- In Switzerland the communal order does this by certain amount of money-spending-competences: 2 mio /2-20 mio/ above 20 mio
- In Switzerland the amount of citizens who have the right to propose changes or oppose proposed changes are small (Zurich: 1% 0.7%)
- There are no special quora asked for in Referenda (no "supermajorities")
- In a referendum the resources of the different positions have to be transparent and fairly distributed (CH still has to realize this too)
- Referendums should be decisions, not advisory, non-binding consultations
- The Parliament may in the future modify decisions made in referendum; if the citizens would not agree, they may look for a new referendum

III. Where you have to pay special attention for a good local DD

- The quality of DD depends from the quality of it's design
- The design has to be user-friendly and should challenge the powers and not serve them ("Inclusive democracy, not exclusive")
- Local DD has to be well fit into the regional and national D or DD
- The interface between Parliament and citizens (indirect/direct Democracy) has to be carefully organised
- As well as the interface between Human Rights and DD
- A correct information for every citizen has to be guaranteed
- Institutions and organisations which serve the the civic information, education, discussion and deliberation of citizens should be fairly supported by the town and/or regions
- The quality of the outcome of a Referendum depends from the quality of the process which produced the referendum
- No speed fast-food democracy; no 'consultation', but a common decision

IV. What a well designed communal DD produces

- Satisfaction of the citizens ("Happiness")
- A collective identity
- A sense of ownership
- Identification with the town and the common good
- Integration
- Social Integration of societal diversity
- Communicative , and not authoritarian politics: Everybody can convince each-other, nobody might command anybody
- Citizens learn a lot; also how to use their freedom and democratic rights

V. <u>DD-Cultural seeds to be planted</u>

- The new municipality is not made without me
- I am a subject here, not just an object of others
- I care how my village and land is organised
- Together with others I can influence the way we develop
- I have the right to express, defend and argue for my interests, wishes and hopes
- Together with others I can formulate and defend our common interest and wishes
- When we make use of our direct-democratic-rights (Questions and propositions) the mayor, the administration and the council will listen, discuss and respond
- Critical remarks and propositions are always welcome; they do not disturb but are essential for a democratic development as well as a development of democracy
- When I feel a lack of respect or negative consequences in my civic engagement I have to right to complain to the Ombudsperson or the Courts

VI. Main challenges for Ukraine/post-totalitarian-societies

- Life is not a destiny (any more)
- Individually you may only despair; if you want to change things you have to put yourself together with likeminded others
- In a democracy political power is not personal, but based on the rule of law and defined in the laws
- Power-holders /Representatives have also to learn how to work and act in a democracy
- The state is not a private, but a public good
- Winning a election, having a majority does not mean, that you own it
- Winning an election defines your share of power; the losers also still have a share (The share of everyone: His/her basic rights ...)
- Before the law and its rules, everybody is equal
- Democracy is an ongoing, never ending process