« Démocratie directe en tant qu'instrument de la démocratie moderne »

Présentation au Réunion du Bureau FP-AP à Berne d'Andreas Gross ,Politologue, NR/ER, www.andigross.ch, 27.5.2011

The most important questions and hypothesis of my presentation:

- DD and Federalism in it's German notion have a common idea which is realised in a different way: <u>The sharing of power</u>.
- 2. Modern DD has nothing in common with "
 pre-modern Assembly-Democracy": It's
 not directed against Representation,
 includes representative democracy,
 makes representation more
 representative, but does not reduce
 democracy to representative democracy.

- Democracies: They are too national and too representative we need to constitute them on the transnational level and to enlarge them with direct-democratic elements.(Actual expl: Spanish Movement of the 15 th of May)
- 4. The latter is the condition of the first:
 When citizens are already disappointed about the quality of their local, regional and national Democracy, they don't find the courage and self-confidence to think about a European Democracy.
- 5. The design of Direct Democracy determines it's quality!

- 6. The most important checks of the design: How many signatures you need to trigger a initiative or a referendum? The time notion of the process. Inclusivity. The interface DD/ID. The interface of Human Rights/DD. The "infrastructure" of DD
- 7. The last two points are the big weakness of the Swiss way of DD.
- 8. A modern Democracy has to included the transnational level and direct-democratic components. The first can not be constituted without the second!